UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,238	01/25/2005	Olvier Guerret	ATOCM-0352	1632
	7590 06/23/200 TE, ZELANO & BRA	EXAMINER		
2200 CLARENDON BLVD.			MESH, GENNADIY	
SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON, VA 22201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/522,238	GUERRET ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
GENNADIY MESH	1796	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

The invitative Bittle of the communication appears on the cover check that the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 16 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of the application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely file may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 14 April 2008. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appearance a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because
(a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: New limitation in Claims 1 and 19 as : "obtained by polymerizing butyl acrylate and having a modulus in moist
medium mesuared using probe tack test method of a tack energy G>100G/cm2" raise new issue and will require further
consideration and search. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. To repurposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11</u> .
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>19-26</u> .
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
12 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SR/08) Paper No/s)

/VASUDEVAN S. JAGANNATHAN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796

/Gennadiy Mesh/ Examiner, Art Unit 1796

13. Other: ____.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

- 1.Applicant was previously advised that Restriction Requirement was made Final Office action mailed on June 7,2007, wherein detailed explanation and reasons for Restriction were given based on MPEP see Revision 5 [Rev.5] (August 2006) for Chapter 1800 pp.1-210 and Section 1850 in particular.
- 2. Applicant's arguments is not applicable for current status of claims.
- 3. Argument related to Claims 1-11 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Klaerner et al.(US 2002/0010267) are not persuasive for following reasons:
- a) arguments based on statement that reference discloses variety of polymers that could constitute each block and is not specific about presence at least one hydrophobic block and one hydrophilic block in block copolymer.
- However, as it was explained in Final rejection mailed on 1/14/2008 (see paragraph 1) and restated herein: reference discloses block copolymer comprising at least first and second segments of monomer units(abstract, [0013] and [0014]) and can have hydrophobic/hydrophilic (amphiphilic) blocks(see [0091]).
- b) Subject matter claimed by Applicant in Claims 1-7 is not specific to any particular polymers (monomers) in block copolymer, but rather wide open to variety compounds.
- In addition, applicant argue that Klaerner does not disclose use of the composition as adhesive in humid conditions. However, this argument is not persuasive, because a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.